

Corrosion Engineers and Nuclear Waste Disposition

R. B. Rebak

July 20, 2006

Materials Performance

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

13 July 2006

Article prepared for publication in the Materials Performance journal issue of September 2006 in the Viewpoint section.

Invited article by editor John Fitzgerald and publications director Gretchen Jacobson the

Corrosion Engineers and Nuclear Waste Disposition

Raul B. Rebak Corrosion Scientist Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550 Chair of the NACE International San Francisco Bay Area Section

More and more articles appear in the press daily about the renaissance of nuclear energy. Even many former opponents of nuclear energy are now convinced that nuclear energy is more environmentally friendly than burning fossil fuels. Nuclear energy does not release carbon dioxide to the atmosphere and therefore does not contribute to the global warming problem. But nuclear energy produces spent fuel or nuclear waste. Spent fuel is radioactive and requires thousands of years of isolation from plants, animals and humans.

Every country currently studying the option for disposing of high-level nuclear waste has selected deep geologic formations to be the primary barrier for accomplishing this isolation. It is postulated that by the very nature of these geological sites, they will contain the waste for long time, limiting the spread of radionuclides, for example, through water flow. The release of radionuclides to the environment can also be delayed by the construction of engineered barrier systems between the waste and the geologic formation. Corrosion engineers are participating in the design and the performance prediction of the engineered barriers. The principal engineered component in this multibarrier approach is the container for the waste. Beyond the metallic containers, other engineered barriers could be added to attenuate the impact of the emplacement environment on the containers. The containers will probably be concentric double walled vessels of dissimilar metals. Each vessel would have a specific function. For example, the inner container may be designed to shield radiation and provide structural support to facilitate the safe handling and emplacement operations. This inner container may be over-packed with a

corrosion-resistant outer layer. The design of the different containers for nuclear waste would vary according to the nature of the geologic formation at the site of the repository.

The most common host rocks for nuclear waste repositories in the world are clay, basalt, tuff and granite. The groundwater associated with the containers should all be relatively benign to most materials because of their low ionic strengths, near neutral pH, and low concentrations of halide ions. The corrosiveness of these waters could increase if significant vaporization occurs due to heating from radioactive decay during the early times of emplacement. Many different alloys are currently being studied for the container materials, including carbon steel, stainless steel, titanium, copper, and nickel alloys.

Twenty years ago, most of the designs for repositories specified life spans of 300 to 1000 years. Today, some designs are considering lifetimes as high as 1,000,000 years. This requirement has created a difficult problem for engineers to solve. The unique aspect of this problem is associated with making predictions about the corrosion behavior of container materials for extended periods of time. Many of the alloy systems being considered have been in existence for less than 100 years. The understanding and methodologies of existing corrosion engineering remain largely oriented to traditional problems involving less corrosion resistant alloys and corrosion behavior over time periods less than decades. Predicting the behavior of engineering alloys over geologic time periods poses new challenges but at the same time offers new opportunities for the development of corrosion science.

Even though nuclear energy has been in use for half a century, no country currently operates a nuclear waste repository. The current renaissance of public interest in nuclear energy may put some pressure on the development of the repositories. Building a nuclear waste repository is a long process since the whole society needs to be ready for it, and nowadays this society may extend beyond country lines. Corrosion engineers can help.

R. B. Rebak, 31 July 2006

This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy by University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract W-7405-Eng-48.